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PART 1:
The Human Library
"The University is seen as a place where difficult issues are confronted. It is an institution where social issues are studied, but it is also an institution that sees itself as a community where ideas can be tried, and, further, which is not ashamed to put itself too under the academic microscope. ... These two aspects are characterised as the Academic and the Human Projects respectively. They form the key thread throughout ...,”

(University of the Free State, 2012:3).
Chapter 1: Introduction
An expression of “profound change”

Jonathan Jansen took office as vice chancellor of the University of the Free State (UFS) in 2009 at a time of turmoil on campus in the wake of the so-called “Reitz-incident” (cf. Soudien, 2010). Already a public intellectual of note, Jansen would go on to identify “single-discipline thinking” and the absence of a mechanism to teach students that “most complex social and human problems cannot be solved except through interdisciplinary thinking that crosses ... disciplinary boundaries” as a crucial “failure” of South African universities (Jansen, 2011:115).

This argument for an intertwining of knowledge bases becomes emblematic of Jansen’s strategic vision of a merging of the “Academic Project” (e.g. academic excellence and the establishment of a campus wide academic culture, etc.) and the “Human Project” (confronting prejudice and nurturing a culture of inclusion and social engagement, etc.) (cf. University of the Free State, 2012).

Figure: Portrait of Prof. Jansen
Source: http://www.dgnut.co.za
1.1 Knowledge in the blood: Mapping a common “dialogic space”

The current project springs from a longstanding tradition of naming a building on the main campus for a former vice chancellor. Historically, these buildings have not necessarily had any relation to the academic or social contribution of the rector it represents. The Wynand Mouton Theatre, for example, is named for the ninth rector (1976-1988), a physicist. The naming of the Health Sciences headquarters for Francois Retief (tenth rector, 1989-1997) by contrast does at least reflect his disciplinary background (cf. Van Sink tot Sandsteen tot Graniet, 2006).

The current project is a call for a more pronounced synergy between the naming and claiming of physical space on campus and the embodiment of the vice chancellor for whom it is named. It conceives of creating a building as emblematic of Jansen’s pedagogy and his investment in the public and social space of South African society. As such it is a heritage project conceived to best embody the values and ethics of the UPS vice chancellor in a knowledge commons that would marry the academic and the human project. More so, although integrally part of the campus infrastructure, the building should also reflect the ethos of Jansen as public intellectual, claiming space for the academy in the community at large.

In his magnum opus on the post-Apartheid historically white Afrikaans university, Jansen considers the power dynamics inherent to social and political change among students of the new South Africa and states:

“Undoing oppression in dangerous and divided communities requires bringing together the perpetrators and the victims in the same dialogic space. This means there is diminished opportunity for such a dialogic encounter in segregated classrooms, for the presence and the passion of the Other enables the clash and engagement with conflicting and conflicted knowledges,” (2009:260).

From this Jansen continues to argue for nine key elements emblematic of the narratives embodied in his study of post-conflict pedagogy (Jansen, 2009:260-276):

- The Power of Indirect Knowledge
- The Importance of Listening
- Disruption of Received Knowledge
- The Significance of Pedagogic Dissonance
- ReframingVictors and Victims
- Acknowledgment of Brokenness
- The Importance of Hope
- The Value of Demonstrative Leadership
- The Necessity of Establishing Risk-Accommodating Environments

These key elements will offer direction and provide the creative impetus for a space that would best embody and entrench Jansen’s strategic vision by symbolising social transformation through dialogue on the post-Apartheid campus of a historically Afrikaans and white South African university.
1.2 The Human Library
(formerly, "Living Library")

In 2000 the Roskilde Music Festival in Denmark became the first incarnation for the itinerant "human" or "living library" as conceived by the NGO, Stop Volden (English: "Stop the Violence") (Abergel et al., 2005:13-14). The purpose was to enable young individuals to have short conversations that would give voice to "difference". Young "readers" were invited to pair up with "human books," namely, people who have experienced prejudice or stereotyping in life:

"The Human Books tell their story and then receive questions from participating Readers. Putting a human face to prejudice and stereotypes challenges people to think differently and to support and advocate more accepting and supportive environments for all," (Goebel, 2011:161).

The organizers thereby challenged the participants to "[m]eet your own prejudice! Instead of talking about it, simply meet it" (Abergel et al., 2005:9). The human books included members of groups who may have been subject to discrimination, stereotyping, or have lived through significant life experiences. The NGOs handbook, Don't judge a book by its cover! The Living Library Organizer's Guide (Abergel et al., 2005) and the website, http://humanlibrary.org/, have become instrumental for the dissemination of the idea in various incarnations across the globe. The concept of the human library is also foregrounded in the Council of Europe’s All Different – All Equal youth campaign against racism, anti-Semitism, xenophobia and intolerance.

Figure: "Stop the Violence" 1993.
Source: www.walesonline.co.uk
From the first experimental venture a little more than ten years ago, human library projects soon proliferated worldwide and morphed and adapted to changing circumstances and requirements. These human libraries are most often comprised of living books representing individuals with varying backgrounds. They assign themselves “book titles” according to intersections of, for example, ethnicity, religion, sexuality or experience. Some living libraries, such as the first at Roskilde, are organized for special events such as music festivals, while others operate on a regular, ongoing basis. In all circumstances the intention is to provide “ordinary” readers with the opportunity for a conversation with a person they may not typically meet in order to disperse damaging stereotypes and prejudice (Garbutt, 2008:271).
The appearance of human library programmes as advocates for social reconciliation in an academic environment such as university libraries is gradually becoming a more common occurrence as the concept grows in global popularity (cf. e.g. Goebel, 2011). In Australia, for example, Sabina Baltruweit, a community activist, was inspired by a newspaper article describing a human library functioning in the Malmö library in Sweden. Her aim was to address the level of community fear directed at “the Other” in Australia. This human library operates on a monthly and ongoing basis. By November 2008, Karyn Rendall reported the existence of as many as 70 human library projects in Australia alone (Garbutt, 2008:271).

The premise for the transfer of what was initially conceived as an ephemeral, time limited community based concept into a permanent, academically grounded project, is taken from a recent Japanese study reported by Kudo et al. (2011) on the outcomes derived from human libraries in a university setting and Canadian incarnations of university based human libraries (cf. Goebel, 2008).
"In the wonderment of this taxonomy, the thing that we apprehend in one great leap, the thing that, by means of this fable is demonstrated as the charm of another system of thought, is the limitation of our own, the stark impossibility of thinking that," (Foucault, 1976:xv).

"(A)nny point of a rhizome can be connected to anything other, and must be. This is very different from the tree or root, which plots a point, fixes an order. ... There is always something genealogical about a tree. It is not a method for the people." (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:7-8).
Darwin's "tree of life" or "evolutionary tree":

"In July 1837, Charles Darwin had a flash of inspiration. In his study at this house in London, he turned a new page in his red leather notebook and wrote, 'I think.' Then he drew a spindly sketch of a tree. ... Ever since Darwin the tree has been the unifying principle for understanding the history of life on Earth. At its base is LUCA, the Last Universal Common Ancestor of all living things, and out of LUCA grows a trunk, which splits again and again to create a vast, bifurcating tree. Each branch represents a single species, branching points are where one species becomes two. Most branches eventually come to a dead end as species go extinct, but some reach right to the top – these are living species. The tree is thus a record of how every species that ever lived is related to all others back to the origin of life," (Lawton, 2009:34).
Introduction to rhizome

This chapter elaborates on French philosophers, Deleuze and Guattari's (1987), metaphor of the rhizome as theoretical basis for a transformational pedagogy as represented by the human library. It proposes the consideration of an unconventional mix of linear and non-linear educational pedagogical strategies. As such, Deleuze and Guattari's rhizome is a radical model that could contribute to the representation of Jansen's ideas for the future of higher education.

Historically, western knowledge systems were organised by means of hierarchical structures most often depicted as tree-like or arboreal. Genealogies and biological taxonomies are but two examples of arboreal representations of how the western mind traditionally made sense of the known world and in turn this was imprinted on universities' segmentation and segregation of knowledge into disciplines and sub-disciplines. These representations, going back to the mythological tree of knowledge in the Garden of Eden, are per definition hierarchical. It also implies an inherent power dynamics implicit to the vertical transfer of knowledge and the possibility of knowledge withholding as much as distribution (cf. Derrida, 1996).
In 1980 Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari published *A Thousand Plateaus* (English translation: 1987) and with it introduced the concept of the “rhizome” as an alternative organisational principle for knowledge systems and human knowledge distribution. In the *Deleuze Dictionary*, Felicity Colman (2005:231) explains: “Deleuze and Guattari’s concept of the ‘rhizome’ draws from its etymological meaning, where ‘rhizo’ means combining form and the biological term ‘rhizome’ describes a form of plant that can extend itself through its underground horizontal tuber-like root system and develop new plants. In Deleuze and Guattari’s use of the term, the rhizome is a concept that ‘maps’ a process of networked, relational and transversal thought, and a way of being without ‘tracing’ the construction of that map as a fixed entity.”

This horizontal, rather than vertical, non-hierarchical approach to knowledge classification found resonance and anticipated the development of the World Wide Web with its organic proliferation of hyperlinks and the semantic web where concepts and classifications occur at the same level: “Any point of a rhizome can be connected to any other, and must be. This is very different from the tree or root, which plots a point, and fixes an order,” (Deleuze and Guattari, 1987:7). Coincidentally, in January 2009, Graham Lawton published “Axing Darwin’s Tree” in New Scientist, arguing for a different representational understanding of biological interrelationships than Darwin’s tree-of-life. It is much similar to Deleuze and Guattari’s perception of a multidimensional, flat structure. Lawton’s argument was based on observations from horizontal gene transfer (HGT) which led biologist, W. Ford Doolittle, to observe in *Science* (1999:2124) that: “The tree of life is not something that exists in nature, it’s a way that humans classify nature.” [my emphasis]
Precedent study: pierresvives ("living rock")

Project: pierresvives Building for the department de l'Hérault

Location: Montpellier, France

Architects: Zaha Hadid Architects

Overview

It is in this context that Zaha Hadid offers an architectural precedent. She translates the tree motif in the recently inaugurated (September 2012) pierresvives Building for the Département of Hérault in Montpellier, France. Her brief demanded a building that would incorporate three civic institutions, the archives, a library and the sports department in one building. Hadid chose the motif of a "large tree-trunk that has been laid horizontally" as organizational principle, creating a sense of knowledge and people distribution more resonant of the rhizome than the tree, yet retained the tree metaphor as central to her design:

"The archive is located at the solid base of the trunk, followed by the slightly more porous library, with the sports department and its offices on top where the trunk bifurcates and becomes much lighter. 'Branches' project vertically off the main trunk to articulate points of access to the various institutions," (Zaha Hadid Architects, 012n.p.).
Design relevance

Hadid’s design offers a prototype for the idea of the order of knowledge as design principle. Her choice of a tree on its side and the reinforcement of the tree-motif in the description of the flow inside the structure, emphasizes the limitations this project identified with the rigid hierarchical nature of the vertical “tree of knowledge” and favours the rhizome as overarching design principle to represent Jansen’s pedagogy of change and transformation.

Her signature choice of concrete and glass, in their unbending rigidity, despite the fluidity of her design, offers an interesting counter argument for the current project’s choice of a more amenable, flexible design skin as alternative to model social change and transparency.

Figure: Exterior view of finished building.
source: http://www.archdaily.com/

Figure: Night view of finished building.
source: http://www.archdaily.com/
2.1 The rhizome as vehicle for knowledge transformation

In Jansen's discussion of the “problem of change” (2009:187) he describes the knowledge-power nexus created by Apartheid and reinforced by the institutional curriculum as implied certainty, especially in the social sciences: “Knowledge for these colleagues was positive and accumulated on the basis of scientific principles, not constructed, tentative, and changing as a consequence of human endeavour. Even when there was an intellectual understanding of such a new orientation toward knowledge, it was very difficult to change toward ways of thinking and seeing that required a more tentative understanding of knowledge and authority.” The post-Apartheid move toward postmodern pedagogy and the resultant breakdown in hierarchical and binary certainties (truth vs. falsehood) is also best expressed by a multi-dimensional, non-hierarchical organising principle for knowledge in the university. To Jansen's reference to “thinking” and “seeing”, may also be added “listening” (Jansen, 2009:260), as attributes of alternative knowledge distribution. This alternative way of knowing is best served in this context by a physical incarnation, a building (Jansen's “risk-accommodating environment” [2009:260]), that would conceptually and architecturally represent the transformational nature of knowledge distribution and educational interchange in the twenty-first century university and society.

Fig: Knowledge transfer as rhizomatic process
Source: www.senselab.ca/marcngui
Rhizome + postmodern pedagogy

In the context of postmodern pedagogy, Senagala (1999) has pointed to the relevance of the application of Deleuze and Guattari's rhizome to Jean-François Lyotard expression of the longevity of transformational knowledge: "[A]nthing in the constituted body of knowledge that does not allow translation and transformation will be simply abandoned ... Deleuze and Guattari's poststructural notions of knowledge exchange as rhizome and the postmodern epistemological propositions of Jean-François Lyotard interact in ways that lead us to novel pedagogical paradigms. A rhizomatic studio (within a rhizomatic curriculum) would be predicated not on 'training,' but on establishing new and multiplicitous connections with the world, bodies of knowledge, people and things." This, Senaga explains (1999), is a marriage of Lyotard's "scientific/technical knowledge" and "narrative knowledge": "So instead of becoming trees in themselves, scientific knowledge and narrative knowledge could form rhizomes with the world and grow together."

In finding architectural expression for this heritage project, Deleuze and Guattari's rhizome becomes the metaphor for social and knowledge transformation advocated by Jansen, but also for the acknowledgment of narrative as of equal importance to traditional understandings of the "scientific/technical" knowledge base foundational to western institutions of learning.

Fig: Horizontal (Non-hierarchical) Rhizomatic network with human as nodes.
Source: www.senslab.ca/marc ngai
2.2 The rhizome as metaphor
Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari (1987) offer metaphors to explain the changing environments in which Jansen's post-Apartheid university and library needs to operate. They (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:7-14) describe the rhizome in terms of the following "characteristics" or "principles":

Fig: Horizontal (Non-hierarchical) Rhizomatic network with human as nodes.
Source: www.senselab.ca/marc ngui
Connection and heterogeneity:

A rhizome ceaselessly establishes connections between semiotic chains, organizations of power, and circumstances relative to the arts, sciences, and social struggles. A method of the rhizome type, can analyze language only by decentralizing it onto other dimensions and other registers. (1987:7-8).

Conventional academic libraries function according to the tree-like structures of the Dewey decimal and similar classification systems which regulate the organization of the knowledge they have in custody. This weakness has been recognized by the so-called Library 2.0 movement (cf. e.g. Albanese, 2004). Apart from antiquated knowledge organization, these institutions fail to form rhizome-like relationships with their users and surroundings, but rather mimic "isolationist" or silo-like, arboreal structures: the library serves as the root system with the students/users branching out from it. Alternatively, a rhizomatic model would establish multiple, non-hierarchical and horizontal connections between all of its nodes, or users, with the hope of diminishing the knowledge hierarchy.

Multiplicity:

A rhizome replaces interdependence with interconnection and an emphasis on decentralization: "There is no unity to serve as a pivot in the object, or to divide in the subject. ... A multiplicity has neither subject nor object, only determinations, magnitudes, and dimensions that cannot increase in number without the multiplicity changing in nature (the laws of combination therefore increase in number as the multiplicity grows). ... There are no points or positions in a rhizome, such as those found in a structure, tree, or root. There are only lines." (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:8).

The flows of the conventional library have distinct directions, orders and hierarchies structured according to unifying organizational principles prescribed by the classification systems (Dewey, Library of Congress, etc.). The librarian is the centralized gatekeeper with the traditional user conceptualized as an apprentice who needs to be trained to navigate the "branches" of the knowledge structures. Alternatively, in a rhizomatic model the depiction of the relationship between the user, the librarian and the process of knowledge transfer is entirely flat with multiple entry points: "Perhaps one of the most important characteristics of the rhizome is that it always has multiple entryways," (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:12).
Signifying rupture

"A rhizome may be broken, shattered at a given spot, but it will start up again on one of its old lines, or on new lines. ... Every rhizome contains lines of segmentarity according to which it is stratified, territorialized, organized, signified, attributed, etc., as well as lines of deterritorialization down which it constantly flies. ... These lines always tie back to one another. That is why one can never posit a dualism or a dichotomy, even in the rudimentary form of the good and the bad. You may make a rupture, draw a line of flight, yet there is still a danger that you will reencounter organizations that reterritorialize everything, formations that restore power to a signifier, attributions that reconstitute a subject - anything you like, from Oedipal resurgences to fascist concretions." (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:9).

Cartography and decalcomania

"The rhizome is altogether different, a map and not a tracing. ... What distinguishes the map from the tracing is that it is entirely oriented toward an experimentation in contact with the real. The map does not reproduce an unconscious closed in upon itself; it constructs the unconscious," (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:12).

Traditional university curricula are based on the principle of building blocks, whereby one piece of foundational knowledge informs the progression to the next. The absence of any of these "blocks" destroys the attainment of the educational goal. It seems that in Jansen's postconflict pedagogy's (Jansen, 2009:260-276) rupture of the status quo through the "disruption of received knowledge" in narratives and an emphasis on "indirect knowledge", "the significance of pedagogic dissonance" and the "acknowledgment of brokenness" is well represented by Deleuze and Guattari's description of the rhizome as signifying rupture. While the ever-present "danger" or "risk" of the "reterritorialization" and the "restoration of power", as Jansen indeed acknowledges in the final "key element", the necessity of establishing "risk-accommodating environments".

A rhizomatic building, the proposed human library, would be a "map" of multiple, and often "conflicted knowledges" (Jansen, 2009:260), but not a "tracing" or arboreal reproduction thereof. Rather, the human library is complete in and of itself. It maps "thought" and "memory" and the multiplicity of interconnected linkages between thoughts and memories in the human mind: "Thought is not arborescent, and the brain is not a rooted or ramified matter," (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:15).
Precedent study: Corpora in Si(gh)te

Project: Commissioned media architecture installation for the Yamaguchi Centre for Arts and Media (YCAM)

Location: Yamaguchi Prefecture, Japan

Architects: doubleNegatives Architecture (dNA)

Overview

The architects created an environment composed of a mesh architectonic organism "living" in the museum:

"A number of sensors are set up forming a mesh network through the area of YCAM in order to collect and distribute real-time environmental information such as temperature, brightness, humidity, wind direction, and sound." (Perez, 2007).

This information, in turn, is processed by the shifting structure which responds to it. This result in a form independent of the architect's conception and entirely based on each structural node's responses to the sensor information. In the architects' description, the alignment with Deleuze and Guattari's (1987:7-14) characteristics/principles of the rhizome is easily detectable:

"In 'Corpora in Si(gh)te', the autonomous structural nodes programmed in the software are able to become subjective viewpoints in the space, which ceaselessly adjusts the relationship between each surrounding structural node. They are newly reproducing and arranging their own copies or destroying themselves based on changes in the physical environment.

In addition, the act of re-designing is continuously occurring within the space surrounding each node. Such a process enables the state of 'the whole body to form by autonomous generation' to finally develop, as the title 'Corpora' symbolically suggests," (http://corpora.ycam.jp/en/work.html).
Overview

The “shifting structure” does not exist physically, but is represented by a scale model located in the foyer. Projectors produce real-time imagery inside the space showing the second “digital façade” as it reacts to the physical structure according to information collected by its sensors. This façade can radically alter scale, form and state in response to its environment, as it is only a digital representation and does not physically exist. The fact that it’s augmented reality makes it very interesting. It suggests the idea that the data around the building is in fact another façade. Supposedly the informational weight and density of this façade would be far more significant than its physical façade for some users. It suggests an architecture which is purely informational, yet not without an implied physical form and aesthetic appeal.
Design Relevance:

The project embodies every principle/characteristic of the rhizome, as set out by Deleuze and Guattari (1987) and discussed in the previous section.

More importantly, it gives an example of how digital information and relationships can be expressed by physical form, even though it does not entirely cross into the physical realm. In the following section the use of tensegrity will be explored as a means of expressing the rhizome as change agent and dynamic non-hierarchical network of social relationships.

Fig: Scale model inside museum
Source: www.senselab.ca/marc ngai

Fig: Projected images on interior walls
2.3 Tensegrity: a "logical beauty"

The inventor, artist, self-styled architect and mathematician, R. Buckminster Fuller, created the term tensegrity from a contraction of "tension" and "integrity" to describe a structure first developed by the young artist Kenneth Snelson in 1948.

Fuller adopted Snelson's flexible invention for his system of "synergetics" in order to construct his "geodesic domes":

"Snelson's sculptures, in which rigid sticks or 'compression members' (as an engineer might call them) are suspended in midair by almost invisible cables or very thin wires, can still be seen around the world," (Connelly & Back, 1998:142).

Connelly and Back (1998:148) mention as examples the Needle Tower in the Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden in Washington, D.C., but also more mundane examples such as baby toys, and from moon landing devices to geographical maps (Espinosa & Harnden, 2007:1057).

Figure: Fuller holding a tensegrity model
Source: www.dexigner.com
2.3 Tensegrity: a “logical beauty”

Tensegrity is most prevalent in nature. Connelly and Back (1998:144) refers to spider’s webs, but some of the most interesting examples are to be found in multidimensional cellular biology: “the cytoskeleton exhibits tensegrity (tensional integrity) architecture, the concept behind Buckminster Fuller’s geodesic domes ... Cells are built on a cytoskeleton following similar principles,” writes Carolyn Strange (1997:8). Reminiscent of Deleuze and Guattari’s rhizome, the biologists often refer to tensegrity structures as “web-like” networks. Mathematical descriptions (cf. Connelly & Back, 1998) are revealing about their strength and stability: “Such networks exert no bending moments in their elements and, like the spider web, offer great strength with little material. ... In sum, the cytoskeleton is an armature organized into tensegrity structures that can be understood mathematically. Cellular biological form reflects principles of the fractal, tensegrity subcellular structures,” (Nettleship & Penman, 1989:23).
Connell and Back (1998:142) offers a useful description of how Snelson's structures worked: "Snelson's structures are held together with two types of design elements (engineers say members), which can be called cables and struts. The two elements play complementary roles: Cables keep vertices close together; struts hold them apart. Two vertices connected by a cable may be as close together as desired ... but they may never be farther apart than the length of the cable joining them. Similarly, two vertices joined by a strut may never be closer than the length of the strut, but may be arbitrarily far apart."

In short, tensegrity in architecture refers to Fuller's development of a structural system to combine discontinuous compression and continuous tension by means of cables and struts. It is highly imaginative, yet practical. Economical in design and execution, it models itself on nature. The Walker Art Center (1969:23), e.g., compares the "efficiency, strength and flexibility" of tensegrity structures with the "kind of logical beauty that one associates with crystal formations."

The opposing forces of compression and tension represented by the two design elements, cables and struts, offer a contrast to what we are used to when thinking of building structures that rely primarily on compression for support. The brick wall is the classic example: one brick is piled on top of the other. This is a "continuous compression" structure - where the compression created by gravity is carried from one brick to another, all the way to the ground. The bottom brick has to be compressively strong enough to carry all the bricks above it. By the same token arboreal, vertical structures depend on the same. However, at cellular level, balance between contrasting forces to create structure is evident and so too in the architectural designs that uses the same principles.

Similarly, we have traditionally conceived of the human body as a structure that gains its stability from a connected framework of skeletal bones, carrying loads from one to the next. This approach does not account for many other human design elements such as ligaments and muscle. More recently however scholars have started to accept the complex inherent relationships and interconnections between the different systems in the body in order to make sense of body mechanics (musculoskeletal structure) by means of so-called "biotensegrity" (cf. Levin, 2002).

By the same token, tensegrity has been introduced to our understanding of social dynamics. Stafford Beer, working on complexity management, urged a more organic and holistic understanding of organizational dynamics (Espinosa & Harnden, 2007:1056): "Team Syntegrity (TS) provides a model of non-hierarchical social interactions, that facilitates a participatory and equitable dialogue among a group representing different interests and holding a diversity of views." Beer developed TS as a result of Fuller's principle of tensegrity, applying Fuller's architectural use thereof to the social setting: "Fuller's insight was that a combination of difference and commonality permeates human experience ... of the universe, and hypothesized that this has something to do with the external ... complementary interplay of forces of compression and tension. The integrity of any recognizable structure is because of a particular mix of local compressive stress where structural members are joined together, and also an overall tensile stress that characterizes the entire system (Tensegrity), and humankind's capacity to appreciate this." (Espinosa & Harnden, 2007:1056-1057).
Precedent study:  
Architects Machina

Project: Interactive student art work installation - an archetype for Biotic Interactive Architecture (2009 & 2010)

Location: Tokyo, Japan (various locations: Museum of Contemporary Art Tokyo; Haneda Airport; iii Exhibition 11 Tokyo)

Architects: Yosuke Ushigome (Hirose Tanikawa Lab) and Ryuma Niiyama (Intelligent Systems and Informatics Lab)
Overview

Graduate students, Ushigome and Niiyama, in Informational Science and Technology at the University of Tokyo, deployed a 21-strut tensegrity sculpture dome by replacing the tension elements with "pneumatic muscles", a computer-driven air compressor, pneumatic valves and human-activity sensors. They named it Archi'e Machina: "In contrast to conventional static architecture, the form of robotic tensegrity can dynamically change response to movements of a human within its space," (Ushigome & Niiyama, 2009:n.p.).

This experimental project served as the basis for the concept of using tensegrity to physically embody the non-hierarchical (rhizome) network idea in a physical structure. Archi'e Machina comprises of 21 aluminium struts held in suspension via 84 steel cables that connect the members. By replacing 12 of the connections with pneumatic muscles that work on air compression, Ushigome brings these structures to life, creating a responsiveness that mimics accommodation and change, so-called "interactive architectural-space" or "interactive architecture" (Ushigome et al., 2010)

Prototype Overview

Fig: Diagram of tensegrity system design
Source: http://www.cyber.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~ushu/
Using the same structure, the students explored varying possibilities by installing it in environmentally different locations that include an airport, a busy public square, and a residential park. In the Haneda airport terminal the system was hooked up to microphones which made the structure respond to acoustics, namely, varying noise levels as well (Ushigome et al., 2010:59-60).

In the public square it was hooked up to infrared movement sensors - the resulting phenomena was that the structure changed its physical parameters according to the scale and movement of the human interacting with it in real time (Ushigome et al., 2010:60).
Design Application

Ushigome et al. (2010:61) discovered that responsive, interactive, architectural space is experienced by people as an “augmentation of personal space”. The human library project will attempt to recreate this effect by incorporating tensegrity into the building structure itself in order to allow parts of the building to move and the interior space to shift according to human activity. This in simpler terms, the idea is implemented by means of the Eastern facade expanding under loud and eventful interior activity, and contracting in reaction to more intimate and quiet conditions.

Fig: Structure with pneumatic muscles and sensors
Source: http://www.cyber.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~ashii/
Chapter 3: Conclusion

“How could movements of deterritorialization and processes of reterritorialization not be relative, always connected, caught up in one another? The orchid deterritorializes by forming an image, a tracing of a wasp; but the wasp reterritorializes on that image. The wasp is nevertheless deterritorialized, becoming a piece in the orchid’s reproductive apparatus. But it reterritorializes the orchid by transporting its pollen. Wasp and orchid, as heterogenous elements, form a rhizome.” (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:10).

“The point to amplify is that this was not simply change within the context of normal organizational life, the cycles of change and restructuring that tend to overwhelm universities everywhere. This was university change in the context of a country that was itself transforming dramatically in the aftermath of Apartheid. What happened inside took its cue, and gained legitimacy, from what was happening outside. What happened outside heralded clear expectations about what should happen inside,” (Jansen, 2009: 15).
Fig: Horizontal (Non-hierarchical) Rhizomatic network with human as nodes.
Source: www.senselab.ca/marc rgui
The first paragraph of the prologue to Knowledge in the Blood reads:

"It will never happen again. This is the first and only generation of South Africans that would have lived through one of the most dramatic social transitions of the twentieth century. Nobody else would be able to tell this story with the direct experience of having lived on both sides of the 1990s, the decade in which everything changed," (Jansen, 2009:1).

The current project's attempt to propose a heritage project that would embody Jansen's contribution to the UFS, takes Jansen's first paragraph as emblematic of a number of markers:

- **A sense of historical significance** ("it will never happen again"). The human library, born from transitory roots in the music festival circuit and devoid of initial physical space, concerns itself with addressing suspicions and ignorance of the Other. In this context, a keen awareness of the Apartheid roots of the current educational milieu results in the need for a "reterritorialization" of traditional pedagogies and hierarchical power dynamics in the structuring of knowledge dissemination.

- **A symbol of change** ("dramatic social transition"). The choice of a responsive, tensegrity inspired skin for the building is important to create a psychological awareness of the individual's responsibility for effecting change. The tensegrity principles of discontinuous compression and continuous tension resonates Jansen's emphasis of the push and pull of the Apartheid/post-Apartheid dichotomy in understanding the social mapping of South African society. At the same time the non-linear network of struts and cables mimic and predict a more egalitarian social network for knowledge exchange in the post-Apartheid university.

- **A sense of uniqueness** ("this is the first and only generation of South Africans"). The human library will be a first on a South African campus and its emphasis on a web-like network of knowledge interchange will hopefully shape new approaches to the academic library of the twenty-first century.

- **The importance of the narrative as vehicle for knowledge transfer** ("nobody else would be able to tell this story"). The human library, with its emphasis on people, rather than objects, and the interactive quality of the knowledge interchange lends itself to the embodiment of Jansen's emphasis on narrative.
To Conclude

Part One of this document argues that the human library offers an appropriate typology for use as heritage project in the light of Jansen's strategic plan for 2012-2016. The building design is informed by Jansen's pedagogic ethos as set out as nine key elements in Knowledge in the Blood (2009). This is mapped onto Deleuze and Guattari's rhizome as alternative for the traditional western hierarchical understanding of knowledge exchange (“UP [read: all historical Afrikaans universities] is a top-down, hierarchical organization with all authority vested in a single leader at the apex,” [Jansen, 2009:15]).

In turn, Fuller's tensegrity structure is conceived as architectural embodiment of the rhizome in order to represent the above.

In sum, tensegrity offers an architectural and social model to represent Jansen's advocacy for difficult dialogues to effect knowledge and social transformation in elegant simplicity through its resonance with the web-like rhizome of Deleuze and Guattari. The equal distribution of compression inside a net of continuous tension beautifully recalls Jansen's call for a risk accommodating environment and the ever present possibility of rupture to which the French philosophers (1987:10) refer: “The dynamics of power trouble all our doing and all our knowing. Knowledge is always contingent, always standing above an abyss,” (Jansen, 2009:1).
PART 2:
A Knowledge Navigation Centre for the University of the Free State

... Once we surveyed the emotional, cultural, and political landscape of this all-white university, I recognized that the challenge facing us ... was as complex as it was clear: how to do reparation and reconciliation at the same time. It was a formulation that resonated with my own understanding of social and educational change: that it was unnecessary and in fact would be disastrous to choose between the options of redress or reunion. ... In other words, the process I was to lead within the institution would find its political corollary within the surrounding society, and this knowledge gave me courage and direction.” (Jansen, 2009:203).

"Writing has nothing to do with signifying. It has to do with surveying, mapping, even realms that are yet to come.” (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987:4-5).
Chapter 4: Brief and accommodation

4.1 Brief

The brief calls for a design that rethinks current practice regarding development of campus architecture, knowledge systemization and classification, as well as the embodiment of the vision of the vice chancellor for whom it is named.

The aim is to create a design that is emblematic of Jansen's pedagogy and his investment in the public and social space of South African society. As such it is a heritage project conceived to best embody the values and ethics of the UFS vice chancellor in a knowledge commons that would marry the academic and the human projects set out in the strategic plan for 2012-2016 (University of the Free State, 2012).

More so, although integrally part of the campus infrastructure, the building should also reflect the ethos of Jansen as public intellectual, claiming space for the academy in the community at large.
The UFS Knowledge Navigation Centre (Human Library) will consist of the following:

- **Knowledge sharing space (Reading space)**
  Refers to spaces where users read human books. These spaces will vary in terms of scale and privacy level to accommodate different encounters, be it one-on-one conversation or a group of participants engaging in the activity.

- **Reflective space (Quiet space)**
  These spaces are for users to reflect or calm down after possible encounters of difficult dialogue or heated conversations. Because of the unpredictable nature of social interaction and the aim at social reconciliation and the challenging of prejudice, heated conversations may arise which may cause users to need a moment of reflection.

- **Administrative space (Librarian stations)**
  Research assistants, or 'new age librarians', will be stationed throughout the building to ensure ease of access for users and proper security and administration throughout.

- **Archive access points (Digital hotspots)**
  An important function of the building is the creation and organisation of a digital archive of information for the University. Users should have quick and easy access to these archives for research or reference purposes. This may include a "memory bank" of historic "public readings" on a very small scale comparable to the University of Southern California Shoah Foundation Institute for Visual History and Education’s interviews of holocaust victims (University of Southern California, n.d.).

- **Scanning and archiving facilities (Digitizing space)**
  Spaces where physical material such as books, DVDs, tapes, artworks, and other information-containing entities can be scanned, photographed or converted in order to be uploaded and archived as a part of the University’s online digital archive, or cloud.

- **Interactive networking facilities (Skype lounge)**
  The online archives and human library system are not limited to campus users. These facilities enable the Human Library to reach far beyond its physical barriers and use technology to become part of a larger global network, allowing users access to members from anywhere in the world via the internet.
4.2 Client

The client is the University of the Free State (Bloemfontein Main Campus).

The main objective of the building is to form an integral part of the strategic plan as the next large-scale development of the campus master plan, and to stand not only as heritage to the vice chancellor but as public symbol, showcasing change in the university and placing it at the forefront of developing academic institutions worldwide.

4.3 Functions

Lower Ground Floor Level:
- Skype Lounge
- Digitizing space
- Librarian station

Lower First Floor Level:
- Reading space
- Librarian Stations
- Digital Hotspots

Upper Ground Floor Level:
- Foyer: Self-help Information point
- Information desk
- Waiting lounge
- Knowledge commons:
  - Reading space
  - Digital Hotspots
  - Wi-Fi Access
  - Charge Points

- Office space

Upper First Floor Level:
- Auditorium
- International student research stations
- Reading space
- Difficult dialogue reflective space

Fig: UFS Logo
Source: www.ufs.ac.za
Spatial Organisation

Fig: Early mind map diagram, starting to determine spatial relationships.
Chapter 5: Historic Overview

“...[A]part from the intense reaction against revealing knowledge, another more common response was indifference, expressed in withdrawal of responsibility. What happened is in the past, and so ‘with a shrug of the shoulders the young repudiate any imputation of responsibility for the infamous behavior of their elders.’ Indifference is not the result of lack of knowledge; it is often a response to shameful knowledge, a protective shield.” (Jansen, 2009:67).

5.1 Historical development of the library on the UFS main campus

The human library on the UFS campus is envisioned both as a counterpoint to the existing main library, the UFS Sasol Library (tension vs. compression), and as complement, acknowledgment and culmination of an existing knowledge hub. In order to physically situate the human library therefore, it is important to map the historic and physical footprint of the main library on campus. In the process some contextual commentary will be offered on the repositioning of the library from central to the periphery of the campus perimeter vis-à-vis the movement of the student centre. The question posed is whether a pairing of the human library with the Sasol library would by extension assign peripheral value to the human library?
Visual Timeline of the Library on Campus: from the centre to the periphery

Fig: 1974 Arial photograph of UFS
Source: (Van Siuk tot Sandsteent tot Graniet, 2006)

Fig: Plan diagram of UFS showing Library from heart to periphery
Visual Timeline: 1909-2012

1909
For the first five years of the university's existence the library is located in the main building. Its original position within the building is unknown.

1914
As the university grows, additions are made to the main building and the library is moved to the newly completed Noordblok. Also to be included in the Noordblok the first student centre.

1945
The student centre ('Interzaal') is moved from the Noordblok in order to make room for administrative offices. It is moved to a temporary building located behind the Abraham Fischer Building. It is christened "the stables" by its users. This would later be known as the Stabilis.

1953
The library is moved from the Noordblok to the "library building", which would later come to be known as the Johannes Brill building, named in honour of the very first rector of the UFS.

Fig: Northblock right after its completion, shown with main building
Source: (Van Sink tot Sandsteen tot Graniet, 2006)

Fig: 1951: Areal photograph
Source: (Van Sink tot Sandsteen tot Graniet, 2006)
1954
The student centre is once again moved to the Scholtz Hall.

1973-1974
Decision is made to expand UFS grounds to the West of the main building. Two bridges are built crossing DF Malherbe road. The UFS aims to build new sports facilities, a new library, new facilities for the drama department, and a building for the department of architecture and quantity surveying on the remaining land. Due to financial reasons many of these plans did not realise. The largest single task accomplished during period was to be the UFS Sasol Library.

1978
Henk de Bruin and Partners are commissioned to do the design for the new library building.
1979
Construction begins on the new library building after plans are finalised.

1983
The new library building is completed and books and other material moved in. Pedestrian movement on campus is disrupted by the locating of the new student centre in the Benedictus Kock building, to the east of the main building and on the opposite end of campus. With the new ‘heart of campus’ gradually moving toward the new western developments across from DF Malherbe road, planners soon realised that it had been a mistake to separate these two institutions as the student centre was underutilised and too far from the Sasol Library.

2003
Complete integration of west and east campuses was only achieved upon completion of the Thakaneng bridge and student center.

2012
Jansen releases the strategic plan for 2012-2016, focusing on the Human Project and Academic Project.
5.2 Historical development of the university crest

As an incidental aside, some reference to the development of the university crest proves important as it aligns again the argument for an alternative conceptualization of the knowledge platform from the tree-like (first crest), to the rhizome (2011 rendition):

1912
Initially, the university simply made use of the Grey College School crest.

1935
Upon changing the name to University College of the Orange Free State, the need for a new crest became apparent. The image below displays the crest of this period, bearing the motto “vorentoe boontoe” (English: “forward and upward”). The prominent tree motif symbolises arboreal thinking at the time, as well as a comment on the Afrikaner social move towards dominance.

2011
After the appointment of Jonathan Jansen as new vice-chancellor, the university logo and crest were changed to showcase the transformed vision and mission of the University. In contrast to the 1935 crest, the flowing lines of the current crest moves away from the vertical, to an emphasis of the horizontal, flowing and less hierarchical (rhizomatic) approach.

Fig: The 1935 University Crest
Source: (Van Sink tot Sandsteert tot Graniet, 2006)

Fig: UFS Cre[n
Source: www.ufs.ac.za
5.3 The UFS Sasol University Library

In July 1983, when the UFS Sasol University Library was inaugurated, it was situated west of DF Malherbe Road and on the periphery of the university campus, linked by two access roads and quite a distance from its formerly central location off the main square.

The decision to remove the library from the centre of campus life has to be compared to the contemporaneously inaugurated J.S. Gericke Library at the University of Stellenbosch which was deliberately kept central to the campus by building underground (University of Stellenbosch Library and Information Service, n.d.). No formal study could be discovered on the effect this move had on student use of the library facilities, but the allocation of space on campus is nevertheless important as commentary.

Nevertheless, as one of the few products of optimistic expansion plans in the early 1970s, the establishment of a high calibre research library was considered essential to Prof Wynand Mouton, the vice chancellor, irrespective of budgetary constraints (Van Sink tot Sandeen tot Graniet, 2006:297).

The imposing concrete and glass building of Brutalist design, e.g. University of Toronto's Robarts Library, the University of California, San Diego's Geisel Library and the Joseph Regenstein Library at the University of Chicago. In fact, New Brutalism proved quite popular on university campuses in South Africa as well, with the most iconic example probably the Unisa main campus on Muckleneuk Ridge in Pretoria.

But dating from the heyday of early 1980s Apartheid, the fortress-like, menacing edifice of sprayed (to hide the flawed and uneven surface) raw concrete, with small windows, tends to echo the power dynamics inherent to the Apartheid-government's negative attitudes towards transparency and open access. This was the time of PW Botha's "total onslaught", as the official history of the university reminds us (Van Sink tot Sandeen tot Graniet, 2006) and the library's design seems to suggest exactly this bunker-mentality, rigidity and resistance to change which Jansen (2009:216) alludes to on the University of Pretoria campus. It becomes, as building, the perfect foil for the flexibility and openness the human library is designed to represent.

Situating the human library adjacent to the Sasol Library was therefore a deliberate decision of counter-positioning. The flexible tensegrity skin, responsive to change, with multiple entryways and glass curtain wall (facing the Sasol Library) to reinforce transparency, becomes the evident representation of Jansen's post-Apartheid pedagogy. Furthermore, utilising the space next to the bridge, offers a metaphor for what the human library will symbolize: a link to the main campus and a bridge over Jansen's "abyss" in his preface to Knowledge in the Blood: “The dynamics of power trouble all our doing and all our knowing. Knowledge is always contingent, always standing above an abyss,” (2009:1).
Fig: Sketch of Eastern approach to library

Fig: Western facade of Sasol Library
Source: (Van Sink tot Sandsteen tot Graniet, 2006)

Fig: Sasol Library move from heart of campus
Source: (Van Sink tot Sandsteen tot Graniet, 2006)
Precedent Study: Vittra School for Vittra Telefonplan

Project: Vittra School (2011)
Location: Hägersten, Stockholm, Sweden
Architects: Rosan Bosch

The Swedish free school organisation, Vittra, offers the ideal precedent to consider the break-down of rigid demarcations and “walls” and the creation of deliberate openness. The school’s pedagogical philosophy demands alternative, non-traditional learning and teaching situations. This in turn directs the design: classrooms are replaced by organised spaces, e.g. “... the wateringhole, the show-off, the cave, the campfire, and the laboratory.” (Jett, 2012).

Fig: Interior view of school with learners
Source: http://www.archdaily.com
Project Overview

The school's philosophy overlaps on many levels with the principles set out in the UPS Strategic Plan 2012-2016 (2012). Rhizomatic principles of non-hierarchy and interconnection are applied by doing away with divided classrooms or visible spatial hierarchy. The belief is the child cannot be inculcated into real life by separating all elements of knowledge into isolated compartments. The same is true for the traditional silo-like divisions of subject matter such as mathematics, science or languages: life is a complex overlapping of many dualities and dynamic relationships. This same approach seems to be what Jansen is aiming for by including the “human project” in the strategic plan, the creation of a holistic educational environment that readies students for life rather than just a profession.

Learners are not separated into groups according to age, but according to progress. Thus each learner can work at a pace which suits him/her working style. This opposition to segregation also creates the opportunity for organic knowledge transfer and education between learners that would otherwise not have been able to interact in a segregated environment. Upon enrolment each learner is equipped with their own personal computer, and the entire (open plan) school has wireless internet access. In allowing this level of freedom to the learners, Vittra strives to create relaxed environments where learners interact with each other and their environment in a dynamic way, and learn from and help one another with the guidance of teachers, instead of being spoon-fed while gaining no substantial knowledge or independent skills (Jett, 2012).

These aims correlate well with what the Human Library project aims to achieve on a tertiary level for the University of the Free State: to create a living network between users where people can learn from and build upon their collective knowledge, expertise and experiences.

Fig: Media viewing space
Source: http://www.archdaily.com

Fig: Organic Furniture
Source: http://www.archdaily.com

Fig: Users interpreting dspace as they see fit
Source: http://www.archdaily.com
Project Overview

Learners are not separated into groups according to age, but according to progress. Thus each learner can work at a pace which suits him/her working style. This opposition to segregation also creates the opportunity for organic knowledge transfer and education between learners that would otherwise not have been able to interact in a segregated environment. Upon enrolment each learner is equipped with their own personal computer, and the entire (open plan) school has wireless internet access. In allowing this level of freedom to the learners, Vittra strives to create relaxed environments where learners interact with each other and their environment in a dynamic way, and learn from and help one another with the guidance of teachers, instead of being spoon-fed while gaining no substantial knowledge or independent skills (Jett, 2012).

These aims correlate well with what the Human Library project aims to achieve on a tertiary level for the University of the Free State: to create a living network between users where people can learn from and build upon their collective knowledge, expertise and experiences.

Fig: Plans + sections showing openness of design, dynamic spaces, not to scale.
Source: http://www.archdaily.com
Design Application

To achieve the same level of non-hierarchy and openness to interpretation towards users, principles from this precedent are used in the design of the human library, focusing mainly on interior layout:

- Open plan layout:
  Interior walls are kept to a minimum as to symbolise the opposition of segregation, and allows space to flow freely.

- Organic/Dynamic furniture use:
  Gives users opportunity to interpret space as they see fit, does away with hierarchy.

- Double volume spaces
- User Participation

Fig: Early sketch of function segregation
Source: http://www.archdaily.com

Fig: Interaction between users, chalk wall in background promotes participation from users.
Source: http://www.archdaily.com
Chapter 6: Contextual analysis

6.1 University Of The Free State in relation to greater Urban Context
Fig: Satellite imagery of Bloemfontein in relation to campus context.
Source: www.earth.google.com
6.1 University Of The Free State in relation to greater Urban Context

Fig: Campus map of UFS showing urban layout and building scale
Buildings + Contours

Fig: Nolly Diagram of Campus (not to scale)

Fig: Contour Map of Campus (not to scale)
6.2 Sasol Library as largest collection of knowledge in Bloemfontein

To propose a new library for the greater Bloemfontein, the macro context had to be taken into consideration. And because the human library, though situated on the UFS campus, has because of its ethos both an academic and public face, the context refers here not only to the physical, but also to the informational situatedness.

Cognisance has to be taken of where major information hubs and access points are physically located in the broader Bloemfontein area.

Currently, the largest collection is the UFS Sasol Library. The adjacent map of Bloemfontein indicates these locations and the approximate size of other collections. The UFS Sasol Library is not only superior in collection size but also in terms of currency, it is technologically enabled and all information is securely preserved.

However, university affiliation proves a severe gatekeeper restricting broader access by the public to the collection. Furthermore, budgets and current information dissemination systems are not keeping abreast with the rapidly changing information technologies and innovations of current information sharing trends among universities the world over (cf. e.g. Albanese, 2004).
Areal view of Bloemfontein with various Libraries and Information points allocated, radiusses determined by estimated size and relevance of information collections.
6.3 Site Selection and Analysis

As mentioned, the SASOL Library was completed in the early 1980's. It expresses the contemporary style of buildings of that era on university campuses. These monumental modernist masses made simple use of geometry by means of strong, clean lines and allowed an even greater perceptual footprint because the building is surrounded by open "garden space".

The simplicity, yet heaviness of the building evokes permanence and monumentality, but it also overwhelms and intimidates. All of this adds up to a building which lends itself to little possibility for alteration or adaptation. Although, as already mentioned, the Sasol Library will be used as foil, the new human library would have to be distanced from the Sasol Library in such a way as not to be overshadowed by its sheer overbearing monumental-
The terrain offers the opportunity of multiple floors without competing with the existing, by retreating the structure over the edge of the cliff face. It embraces the second bridge and could lessen congestion on Thakaneng.

It gives the opportunity to directly benefit from the public via access to DF Malherbe. This also gives the opportunity for a "new public face" to showcase themselves as world-class institution.

Very importantly the 6meter level change allows a large building with multiple floors without it having to compete with the existing Library.

Selected Site:
Cliff face On Eastern side of Sasol Library

Fig: Site selection/ massing excercise diagram
not to scale
Selected Site

The selected site is located on the western side of a public road, dividing the university campus in two. Two bridges (one being the Thakaneng Bridge) span the public road. The site is adjacent to the southern bridge. The new building is to occupy the no man's land between the public road and open space adjacent to the Sasol Library and staff restaurant.

The dramatic level-change of the site (more than 6 meters) presents itself as rock face, which serves as physical embodiment of the divide between the public and campus grounds. The envisioned human library building will reach into this gap, thereby creating public access, additional to campus access to make the space available as much to members of the public as to the UFS staff and student body.

"We don't need new cities, we need to make better use of our existing urban areas. We don't need to take new land, we need to reclaim wasted land." (McLaren)
Context

Diagrammatic representation of proposed site in relation to immediate context, and representation of differentiated levels created by rock face running through site.

Upper Ground Floor Level

Lower Ground Floor Level

Fig: Diagram: Site location on campus map
The sits on the divide between the university grounds and public space. This is consciously incorporated in the design as a means of giving voice to calls for the academy to engage with society at large and hence counter the remote, elitist, and ivory tower perception of universities. This is particularly necessary for the UFS, as the campus is physically fenced off from the broader Bloemfontein community. Other South African universities such as the University of Stellenbosch or the Potchefstroom campus of Northwest University is far more integrated within the physical fabric of the host town/city. This site therefore presents a unique opportunity to utilize the liminal space offered by rock face and the public road in order to engage Bloemfontein society at large.
This also means that the building will have two very different, but equally important facades: a public and a campus facade.

The level-change allows the public facade dramatic prominence, a public statement. The western, campus facade, in turn is modest, open and transparent. It is deliberately designed not to intimidate or compete with surrounding buildings for prominence.

These differing approaches will inevitably influence the design of the building as a whole.
Plan Analysis

Site to be developed with views

Public / UFS divide on site, split by fence
Possible parking and flows

Pedestrian vs. vehicular movement

Fig: Hand-drawn site analysis sketches.
North-South / Longitudinal site section (not to scale)

Proposed Site

Sasol library
Amphitheatre
Pimento

Shop delivery access

DF Malherbe ave.

Thakaneng shops
Public Walkway

Fig: N/S Section showing horizontal divide of campus and public space
East-West / Cross site section (not to scale)

Proposed Site

Campus road spanning Dr Malherbe
Sasol library
Amphitheatre
Thakaneng

Fig: E/W Site section, showing vertical divide of campus and public space
Chapter 7: Design Development

This chapter will show how the theoretical investigation combined with site influences were used to generate the final design of the building.
Fig: Concept diagram of institutionalism of site being 'broken' and 'morphed' by user interaction (rhizome theory applied to UFS context)
7.1 Concept models and drawings

Fig: Concept sketches of different axis on site. (Institutional, Thakaneng rythm, vs. pedestrian movement.

Fig: Illustrating the idea of breaking institutional form, introducing 'human' interactions as means of creating more dynamic form.

Fig: Diagram of building responding to users by means of possible moving facade, or 'skin' (later realised by tensegrity).
Fig. 3 Dimensional representation of institutional (block like, grid-like) formgiving (Sasol Library), beginning to explore ways of introducing movement and dynamics into the solidarity.

Fig: Early balsa wood concept model. 3D grid was used as abstraction of institutionalism, however the design lacked dynamicism and flow – which would later be achieved by the addition of the ‘human’ factor to the project.
Fig: Early massing sketch using rhythm of Thakaneng bridge rainwater elements to create rhythm and human movement as axial opposite.

Fig: Exploring basic tensegrity shapes and structural methods + materials
Fig: Early ideas for West elevation and public entrance on campus level.

Fig: Early section sketch, trying to console scale difference between Thakaneng and Library.

Fig: Section drawing exploring spatial possibilities of responsive tensegrity skin.
Fig. Balsa wood concept model combining rhythm of existing and introducing a new facade.
Fig: Different views of balsa concept model

Fig: Balsa model from top showing reaction to campus context
7.2 Program and Functions
Fig: 3Dimensional section drawing
Program: Lower Ground Floor

- New service lift + security check-point for Thakaneng Bridge
- Digitizing studios
- Librarian station
- Public entrance foyer
- Skype Lounge
- Delivery entrance
- Reflective Space
- Vertical Circulation Ramps

Fig: Lower Ground Floor Plan sketch (not to scale)
Program: Lower First Floor

Research Stations
Fire Stair
Male + Female WC
Circulation Ramps
1 on 1 Reading Space
Group Reading Lounge
Reflective Space

Fig: Lower First Floor Plan sketch
(not to scale)
Program: Upper Ground Floor

Walkway connecting new service lift to thakaneng

Human Book enrollment

Reading Space (Knowledge Commons)

Waiting lounge

Circulation Ramps

Main Entrance Foyer / Info space

New Walkway

Fig: Upper Ground Floor Plan sketch (not to scale)
Program: Upper First Floor
Fig. 3D plan: Upper ground floor
(not to scale)
New delivery bay for Thakaneng bridge

Horizontal circulation

Vertical circulation

Fig: Cross section of building in context
Main campus approach and entrance.
Fig. East elevation rendering, not to scale
Fig: West elevation rendering, not to scale
Fig: North elevation rendering, not to scale.
Fig: View from Thakaneng delivery/service deck
Fig: View from D.J. Malherbe of service and delivery entrances, Sasol bib in background.
Fig. Lower ground floor reflective space next to exposed cliff face
Fig: View of Eastern facade
Fig: Public entrance
Chapter 8: Design Synthesis

8.1 Synthesis

This design is embedded in human knowledge: collection, preservation, dissemination, withholding and sharing. "Brave, discerning, and deeply affecting. ... An act of empathy as well as penetrating analysis, Knowledge in the Blood is an inspiring blueprint for thinking about social and personal transformation," writes historian, academic and author, Eva Hoffman, as blurb on the back of Jansen’s Knowledge in the Blood (2009). In many respects Hoffman’s remarks are used to evaluate the end product: this is very much a “human” library to reflect Jansen’s amalgamation of the academic and human project and as such the design and the theory in which it is grounded needs to evoke more than dispassionate resonance. In other words, for it to succeed the design needs to do more than fulfil utilitarian function.

The end product needs to evoke emotions, in Hoffman’s words, it needs to be “deeply affecting” and the design itself needs to echo the demand on the user to “think about social and personal transformation”.

The tensegrity skin on the eastern façade represents the “tension” and “integrity” of the South African past and present, while also representing a physical embodiment of the opposing tension and co-responsibility for weight bearing (read: individual responsibility for common responsibility all individual South Africans carry to effect a future good). The fact that the tensegrity skin is also responsive to what is happening inside the structure, reminds the individual user that their mere presence effects change. The dynamic relationship between the concrete substructure, which provides the user with a feeling of safety and solidarity, is heavily contrasted against the dynamic tectonic skin and roof membrane which represents the continual state of change of South African society.

Organising vertical circulation ramps along the western façade offers maximum transparency from the campus into the building. Not only does this make the building easy to navigate and inviting to the user, it also signifies transparency, openness and the absence of secrets; vis-à-vis the brutalist Sasol library on the other side with its fortress-like structure and small, shaded windows. Also, this acts as filter for deep penetrating western sun during the winter, which means that the western façade can be more open than expected. The building levels are layered according to privacy requirements - the further away from the core one moves, the more the privacy awarded. Spaces become more intimate and specified toward the higher and lower floors, such as the individual study cubicles on Upper Ground 1 and the Skype lounge on Lower Ground.

The significance of the design lies in its recognition of the importance of embodying the university as change agent within the design itself and emphasizing this message by means of deliberate counter positioning with the brutalist design of its companion-predecessor: the Sasol Library. The Jonathan Jansen human library is a heritage project par excellence because the design itself embodies the values and principles of the marriage of the academic and human project. The building is designed to “listen” and respond, effecting change in much the same way as the human library project anticipates its readers to do: “When human beings from opposite sides of a divided community begin to honestly engage one another, they are often drawn toward the core of each other’s humanity. In witnessing the weaknesses of others, we see their humanity reflected within our own,” (Jansen, 2009:267).
Fig: Exterior rendering
8.2 Conclusion

In closing, Jansen speaks in Knowledge in the Blood (2009:276) of establishing risk-accommodating environments:

"Long before the pedagogic encounter, the atmosphere should have been set, the terms of engagement explained, the rules of dialogue shared. Such difficult dialogues can take place only if trust in the teacher-leader is already ensured through demonstration of an example of conciliation within and outside the classroom. The notion that 'the lesson' starts in the classroom is misguided."

As heritage project the human library becomes a bold statement of investment by the post-Apartheid university in a different way of knowing: an alternative to the power dynamics of the traditional approach to knowledge construction and dissemination. The human library heralds the future for Jansen's postconflict pedagogy that encompasses more than just the classroom and re-introduces the value of narrative to education.
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Phase 1: Concrete substructure

Phase 2: Walls and infill structure

Phase 3: Tensegrity Installation

Phase 4: EFTE Membrane

Phase 5: Steel Superstructure

Phase 6: Services
Fig: Structural render
9.2 Construction

9.2.1 Phase 1: Foundations, Sub-structure and flooring

Fig: Perspective sketch of concrete structure in relation to building

Fig: Reinforcement detail of slab, beam and column connection
Source:bimandbeam.typepad.com

Fig: Detail 1: Foundation detail (not to scale)

- 200mm steel reinforced in situ concrete slab
- 6mm steel reinforcement: foundations
- 400mm stepped foundation
- 60mm gravel layer for drainage

Fig: Sketch of concrete slab and beam structure

Fig: Sketch of concrete slab and beam structure

Fig: Detail 2: Slab, beam, column connection
Source:bimandbeam.typepad.com
9.2.2 Walls, infill structure

Aluminum framed rolling entrance door

Infrared movement sensor, triggers sliding doors

114x114 hollow section steel structural member

6mm safety glass

180x160mm Top door frame section profile, brushed aluminum

Fig. Sketch of main entrance on upper ground floor level, aluminum + glass infill.

Fig: 3D Section drawing of aluminum sliding door. (entrance)

Fig: Detail 1: Aluminum Sliding door mechanism, activated by movement sensor located on frame

Fig: Detail 2
9.2.3 Tensegrity installation

Fig: 3D section showing tensegrity skin located between concrete structure and outer skin

Fig: 3-strut tensegrity. These are the simplest to construct and can be linked to form a network.

Tension members (steel cables)

Compression members (tubular aluminum rods)

Fig: Structural diagram differentiating the 4 tensegrity structures

Fig: Elevation drawing showing the 4 connected tensegrities as one element, anchored vertically to structure

Anchor points
Fig: Front view of movement

Fig: Detail perspective of system connection to building structure and cable pulley system.

Adjustable pulley system built into aluminum struts, allows movement for steel cables.

175mm diameter aluminum strut ('compression member')

Steel connection pin connecting strut to base plate and allowing movement.

15mm steel baseplate, bolted to concrete floor slabs to support compression struts.

Fig: End connection detail for long-span tubular aluminum trusses. Pin connection allows stable 2-directional movement.

TECHNICAL REPORT
9.2.4 EFTE Membrane (Skin + Roofing)

EFTE (Ethylene tetrafluoroethylene) is a fluorine-based plastic, designed for high corrosion resistance and strength over a wide temperature range.

Advantages:
- Naturally water resistant
- Transparency, allows ambient light, no glare
- Quick simple construction and long lifespan
- Lightweight
- Flexible

Fig: Roof plan sketch showing ETFE membrane in red

Fig: Aluminum clamp section used where two EFTE membrane meet (not to scale)

Fig: Rendering showing transparent quality and flexibility of material

Fig: Steel cable connection, holds EFTE membrane in suspension

Source: www.google.com/images

Fig: EFTE used on Beijing Olympic stadium. (Herzog & de Meuron)
9.2.5 Steel Superstructure

Fig: Section drawing through tubular steel girder structure with suspension cables.

Fig: Foundation connection

Fig: Tubular steel member weld connection

Fig: I-beam to tubular structure connection

Fig: Cable connection

Fig: Multiple cable connection
9.2.6 Services

Existing delivery access to Thakaneng is badly congested and blocks pedestrian circulation. Deliveries are proposed to move to the lower level of DF Malherbe. This not only frees the upper level for new pedestrian routes and public space, but relieves traffic congestion on campus by removing delivery trucks and vans.

Fig: New service lift as seen from Thakaneng service balcony
9.2.8 Environmental Performance

Passive ventilation, by means of louvers on lower levels and an openable 'spine' roof element allow sufficient natural ventilation during the day. Trees on lower levels enhance the flow of cool air which enters the building and moves its way up and out through roof openings.

Fig: Render showing passive ventilation louver detail on lower ground floor

Fig: Cross section ventilation and sunpath
9.2.9 Construction Drawings

Fig: Lower Ground Floor Plan
(not to scale)
Fig: Lower First Floor Plan
(not to scale)
Fig: Upper Ground Floor Plan
(not to scale)
Fig: Longitudinal Section AA
(not to scale)
Fig: Cross Section BB
(not to scale)
Fig: West Elevation
(not to scale)
Fig: East Elevation
(not to scale)
Fig: North Elevation
(not to scale)
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